



HAL
open science

An Extension of a Lyapunov Approach to the Stabilization of Second Order Coupled Systems

Thierry Horsin, Mohamed Ali Jendoubi

► **To cite this version:**

Thierry Horsin, Mohamed Ali Jendoubi. An Extension of a Lyapunov Approach to the Stabilization of Second Order Coupled Systems. ESAIM: Control, Optimisation and Calculus of Variations, 2020, 26, pp.19. 10.1051/cocv/2019075 . hal-02941579v2

HAL Id: hal-02941579

<https://cnam.hal.science/hal-02941579v2>

Submitted on 7 Mar 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

AN EXTENSION OF A LYAPUNOV APPROACH TO THE STABILIZATION OF SECOND ORDER COUPLED SYSTEMS^{*,**,***}

THIERRY HORSIN^{1,****} AND MOHAMED ALI JENDOUBI^{2,3}

Abstract. This paper deals with the convergence to zero of the energy of the solutions of a second order linear coupled system. It revisits some previous results on the stabilization of such systems by exhibiting Lyapunov functions. The ones used are constructed according to some scalar cases situations. These simpler situations explicitly show that the assumptions made on the operators in the coupled systems seem, first, natural and, second, give insight on their forms.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 35B40, 49J15, 49J20.

Received March 27, 2019. Accepted November 27, 2019.

1. INTRODUCTION, FUNCTIONAL FRAMEWORK

Let us consider a quite general coupled system in abstract form

$$\begin{cases} u'' + Bu' + A_1u + \alpha Cv = 0 \\ v'' + A_2v + \alpha C^*u = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where A_1 and A_2 and C are, in general, unbounded operators. F. Alabau *et al.* considered in [4], the case when $C = Id$, and A_1 and A_2 are densely closed linear self-adjoint coercive operator and B is a coercive bounded self-adjoint operator. They proved that if $|\alpha|||C|| < 1$ then the energy of the solution (u, v) in polynomially decreasing under quite large assumption on A_1 and A_2 . In this paper our main concern is the case $A_2 = A_1^2$ which is a special case of the aforementioned paper.

When $A_1 = A_2$ and $|\alpha|||C|| < 1$, A. Haraux and M.A. Jendoubi proved in [9] (see also [8]) the polynomial convergence to 0 of the energy by means of a Lyapunov method.

*The first author wishes to thank the Tunisian Mathematical Society (SMT) for its kind invitation to its annual congress during which this work was completed.

**The second author wishes to thank the department of mathematics and statistics EPN6 and the research department M2N (EA7340) of the CNAM where this work was initiated.

***Both authors are grateful to the reviewers for their helpful comments and suggestions.

Keywords and phrases: damping, linear evolution equations, dissipative hyperbolic equation, decay rates, Lyapunov function.

¹ Laboratoire M2N, EA7340, CNAM, 292 rue Saint-Martin, 75003 Paris France.

² Université de Carthage, Institut Préparatoire aux Etudes Scientifiques et Techniques, B.P. 51 2070 La Marsa, Tunisia.

³ Laboratoire équations aux dérivées partielles, Faculté des sciences de Tunis, Université Tunis El Manar, Campus Universitaire El Manar, 2092 El Manar, Tunisia.

**** Corresponding author: thierry.horsin@lecnam.net

As we previously said, in this paper, we investigate such a method in the case when $A_2 = A_1^2$ and $C = A_1^\beta$ with $\beta \in [0, \frac{3}{2}]$. The main result of this paper is Theorem 3.3 which also proves the polynomial convergence to 0 of the solution (u, v) . Compared to the result in ([4], p. 144, Prop. 5.3), the convergence that we obtain is in weaker norms, but requires less regularity on the initial data.

Let us mention that the stabilization of such systems settled in abstract form has been widely studied and many results are connected to those in our paper. The case when A_1 and A_2 are unbounded operators, and C is a bounded was considered by Alabau in [3] and by Alabau *et al.* in [5] where the polynomial stability is proven. The optimal energy decay was proven in [11] in the case $A_1 = A_2 = A$, $C = I$ and $B = A^\gamma$ where $\gamma < 0$. The indirect stabilization of abstract coupled equations is also considered in [1, 2, 6, 7].

Nonlinear damping are also currently investigated. See *e.g.* [12].

In order to motivate the Lyapunov function that we construct in the proof of our main result, we explain the strategy in Section 2 in the framework of a coupled scalar differential system.

In Section 3 we introduce the functional framework and an existence theorem that lead to state and prove our main result, namely Theorem 3.3.

2. A LYAPUNOV FUNCTION FOR THE SCALAR CASE

As mentioned in the preceding section, we consider the (real) scalar coupled system

$$\begin{cases} u'' + u' + \lambda u + cv = 0 \\ v'' + \mu v + cu = 0 \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where $\lambda, \mu > 0$, and c are such that $0 < c^2 < \lambda\mu$. The damping coefficient is set to 1 for simplicity but a time scale change reduces general damping terms bu' to this case. In order to shorten the formulas, let us introduce for each solution (u, v) of (2.1), its total energy

$$\mathcal{E}(u, u', v, v') = \frac{1}{2} [u'^2 + v'^2 + \lambda u^2 + \mu v^2] + cuv.$$

Then we have for all $t \geq 0$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}(u, u', v, v') = -u'^2.$$

Now we introduce

$$K(t) = \frac{1}{2} [u'^2 + v'^2 + \lambda u^2 + \mu v^2].$$

Our first result is the following

Proposition 2.1. *There are some constants $\eta > 0$, $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$\forall t \geq 0 \quad K(t) \leq \eta e^{-\delta t} K(0).$$

Proof. For all $\varepsilon > 0$ we define the function

$$H_\varepsilon = \mathcal{E} - \varepsilon v v' + 2\varepsilon u u' + \frac{3\varepsilon}{2c} (\mu u' v - \lambda u v'). \quad (2.2)$$

It is easy to check that

$$C_1 K(t) \leq H_\varepsilon(t) \leq C_2 K(t) \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$C_1 = \left[\frac{\sqrt{\lambda\mu} - |c|}{\sqrt{\lambda\mu}} - \varepsilon \left(\frac{2}{\min(\sqrt{\lambda}, \sqrt{\mu})} + \frac{3}{2|c|} \max(\sqrt{\lambda}, \sqrt{\mu}) \right) \right] \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$C_2 = \left[\frac{\sqrt{\lambda\mu} + |c|}{\sqrt{\lambda\mu}} + \varepsilon \left(\frac{2}{\min(\sqrt{\lambda}, \sqrt{\mu})} + \frac{3}{2|c|} \max(\sqrt{\lambda}, \sqrt{\mu}) \right) \right]. \quad (2.5)$$

In fact, using Young's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |cuv| &= \frac{c}{\sqrt{\lambda}\sqrt{\mu}} \sqrt{\lambda}|u|\sqrt{\mu}|v| \leq \frac{|c|}{\sqrt{\lambda}\sqrt{\mu}} \left[\frac{\lambda}{2}u^2 + \frac{\mu}{2}v^2 \right] \\ |-\varepsilon vv'| &= \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{\mu}} \sqrt{\mu}|v||v'| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{\mu}} \left[\frac{\mu}{2}v^2 + \frac{v'^2}{2} \right] \\ |2\varepsilon uu'| &= \frac{2\varepsilon}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \sqrt{\lambda}|u||u'| \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \left[\frac{\lambda}{2}u^2 + \frac{u'^2}{2} \right] \\ \left| \frac{3\varepsilon}{2c} \mu u'v \right| &= \frac{3\varepsilon}{2|c|} \sqrt{\mu}|u'|\sqrt{\mu}|v| \leq \frac{3\varepsilon}{2|c|} \sqrt{\mu} \left[\frac{u'^2}{2} + \frac{\mu}{2}v^2 \right] \\ \left| -\frac{3\varepsilon}{2|c|} \lambda uv' \right| &= \frac{3\varepsilon}{2|c|} \sqrt{\lambda}\sqrt{\lambda}uv' \leq \frac{3\varepsilon}{2|c|} \sqrt{\lambda} \left[\lambda \frac{u^2}{2} + \frac{v'^2}{2} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Then we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} H_\varepsilon \leq & \underbrace{\left[1 + \varepsilon \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + \frac{3\sqrt{\mu}}{2|c|} \right) \right]}_{A_1} \frac{u'^2}{2} + \underbrace{\left[1 + \varepsilon \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}} + \frac{3\sqrt{\lambda}}{2|c|} \right) \right]}_{A_2} \frac{v'^2}{2} + \\ & \underbrace{\left[1 + \frac{|c|}{\sqrt{\lambda}\sqrt{\mu}} + \varepsilon \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + \frac{3\sqrt{\lambda}}{2|c|} \right) \right]}_{A_3} \frac{\lambda}{2} u^2 + \underbrace{\left[1 + \frac{|c|}{\sqrt{\lambda}\sqrt{\mu}} + \varepsilon \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}} + \frac{3\sqrt{\lambda}}{2|c|} \right) \right]}_{A_4} \frac{\mu}{2} v^2. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that for all $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

$$A_i \leq C_2,$$

where C_2 is given in (2.5). A similar proof gives similar inequalities for C_1 .

Let $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ the value of ε such that C_1 defined in (2.4) is equal to 0. Let $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1)$. In this case C_1 becomes positive.

A straightforward computation gives

$$\begin{aligned}
H'_\varepsilon &= -u'^2 - \varepsilon v'^2 - \varepsilon v v'' + 2\varepsilon u'^2 + 2\varepsilon u u'' + \frac{3\varepsilon}{2c}[(\mu - \lambda)u'v' + \mu u''v - \lambda v w''] \\
&= -(1 - 2\varepsilon)u'^2 - \varepsilon v'^2 + \varepsilon c u v + \varepsilon \mu v^2 - 2\varepsilon u u' - p\varepsilon \lambda u^2 - 2\varepsilon c u v \\
&\quad + \frac{3\varepsilon}{2c}[(\mu - \lambda)u'v' - \mu u'v - \mu \lambda u v - \mu c v^2 + \lambda c u^2 + \lambda \mu v v] \\
&= -(1 - 2\varepsilon)u'^2 - \varepsilon v'^2 - \lambda \varepsilon \frac{1}{2}u^2 - \mu \varepsilon \frac{1}{2}v^2 - 2\varepsilon u u' - \varepsilon c u v \\
&\quad - \mu \varepsilon \frac{3}{2c}u'v + (\mu - \lambda)\varepsilon \frac{3}{2c}u'v'.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda u^2 + 2c u v + \mu v^2 &= \lambda \left(u^2 + \frac{2c}{\lambda} u v + \frac{\mu}{\lambda} v^2 \right) \\
&= \lambda \left[\left(u + \frac{c}{\lambda} v \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda} - \frac{c^2}{\lambda^2} \right) v^2 \right] \\
&\geq \frac{\lambda \mu - c^2}{\lambda} v^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get

$$\lambda u^2 + 2c u v + \mu v^2 \geq \frac{\lambda \mu - c^2}{\mu} u^2,$$

and then

$$\lambda u^2 + 2c u v + \mu v^2 \geq \frac{\lambda \mu - c^2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\mu} u^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda} v^2 \right)$$

Using Young's inequality, we can find some constants $c_1, c_2, c_3 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
|2u u'| &\leq \frac{1}{8\mu}(\lambda \mu - c^2)u^2 + c_1 u'^2; \\
\frac{3}{2c}|\mu u'v| &\leq \frac{1}{8\lambda}(\lambda \mu - c^2)v^2 + c_2 u'^2; \\
\frac{3}{2c}|\mu - \lambda||u'v'| &\leq \frac{1}{2}v'^2 + c_3 u'^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally we obtain

$$H'_\varepsilon \leq -(1 - (2 + c_1 + c_2 + c_3)\varepsilon)u'^2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}v'^2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{8\mu}(\lambda \mu - c^2)u^2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{8\mu}(\lambda \mu - c^2)v^2.$$

Now by choosing $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1)$ such that $1 - (2 + c_1 + c_2 + c_3)\varepsilon > 0$, some constant $C_3 > 0$ can be found such that

$$H'_\varepsilon \leq -C_3 K(t).$$

By combining this with the inequality (2.3), we get for all $t \geq 0$

$$H'_\varepsilon(t) \leq -\frac{C_3}{C_2} H_\varepsilon(t).$$

We conclude the proof by integrating this last inequality and using (2.3) again. \square

3. THE CASE $A_2 = A^2$, AND $C = A^\beta$ WITH $\beta \in [0, \frac{3}{2}]$

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 3.3. In order to proceed we first introduce the functional framework and give an existence theorem.

3.1. Functional framework

Let H be a separable Hilbert space, whose norm and scalar product will be denoted $\|\cdot\|$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ respectively. We consider $A : H \rightarrow H$ an unbounded closed self-adjoint operator such that the injection $D(A) \subset H$ is dense and compact. We assume moreover throughout the paper that there exists $a > 0$ such that

$$\forall u \in D(A), \quad \langle Au, u \rangle \geq a \langle u, u \rangle. \quad (3.1)$$

Following for example the exposition given in [10], by denoting $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ the increasing sequence of eigenvalues of A , the largest a for which (3.1) is true is λ_1 .

Besides, let us consider $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ an orthonormal basis of H constituted by eigenvectors of A . For any $\beta > 0$, we consider $u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle u, e_i \rangle e_i, \in H$ ($u \in H \iff \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle u, e_i \rangle^2 < \infty$), and we set $A^\beta : H \rightarrow H$ given by

$$A^\beta u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^\beta \langle u, e_i \rangle e_i \quad (3.2)$$

then (see *e.g.* [10])

$$D(A^\beta) = \{u \in H, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{2\beta} \langle u, e_i \rangle^2 < \infty\}$$

and A^β is an unbounded self-adjoint operator such that the inclusion $D(A^\beta) \subset H$ is dense and compact. We also have

$$\forall u \in D(A^\beta), \quad \langle A^\beta u, u \rangle \geq a \langle u, u \rangle, \quad (3.3)$$

for some $a > 0$. The largest a for which this inequality is true being λ_1^β .

As usual we write $A^0 = \text{Id}$. In this case of course the operator A^α is a continuous linear operator on H .

We will denote $V = D(A^{1/2})$ and $W = D(A)$. Thus V and W are Hilbert spaces whose norms $\|\cdot\|_V$ and $\|\cdot\|_W$ are given respectively by

$$\|u\|_V = \|A^{1/2}u\|, \quad \|u\|_W = \|Au\|.$$

We have, if we identify H with its dual

$$W \subset V \subset H \subset V' \subset W' \quad (3.4)$$

with dense and compact injections when the norms on the Hilbert spaces V' and W' are given by

$$\forall u \in V', \quad \|u\|_{V'} = \langle u, A^{-1}u \rangle_{V',V}^{1/2}$$

and

$$\forall u \in W', \quad \|u\|_{W'} = \langle u, A^{-2}u \rangle_{W',W}^{1/2}$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{V',V}$ denotes the action of V' on V (with a similar notation for W). Of course when $u \in H$ one has

$$\|u\|_{V'} = \langle A^{-1}u, u \rangle^{1/2}, \quad \|u\|_{W'} = \langle A^{-2}u, u \rangle^{1/2}.$$

Let us remark that with these definitions A maps continuously V to V' and A^2 maps W to W' .

3.2. Existence result

Let α and β two reals numbers with $\beta \geq 0$. We recall that we consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} u'' + u' + Au + \alpha A^\beta v = 0 \\ v'' + A^2 v + \alpha A^\beta u = 0 \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

which can be rewritten as the first order system

$$\begin{cases} u' - w = 0 \\ v' - z = 0 \\ w' + Au + w + \alpha A^\beta v = 0 \\ z' + A^2 v + \alpha A^\beta u = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

Let us first establish an existence and uniqueness result for (3.5).

We concentrate on the case $\beta \in [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]$, the case $\beta \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ being easier.

Let us consider

$$\mathcal{H} := V \times W \times H \times H.$$

For two elements of \mathcal{H} $U_i = (u_i, v_i, w_i, z_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \langle U_1, U_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &:= \langle Au_2, u_1 \rangle_{V',V} + \langle A^2 v_2, v_1 \rangle_{W',W} + \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle + \langle z_1, z_2 \rangle \\ &\quad + \alpha \langle A^\beta v_2, u_1 \rangle_{V',V} + \alpha \langle A^\beta u_2, v_1 \rangle_{W',W}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{V',V}$ denotes the usual duality pairing between V and V' , with similar notation for W , while $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the scalar product on H for which it is a Hilbert space.

It is straightforward to prove that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ defines a scalar product on \mathcal{H} for which it is a Hilbert space provided that

$$|\alpha| < \lambda_1^{\frac{3-2\beta}{2}}. \quad (3.7)$$

From now on we assume that (3.7) holds true.

We now consider the unbounded operator $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ defined by

$$D(\mathcal{A}) := \{U = (u, v, w, z) \in \mathcal{H}, (-w, -z, Au + \alpha A^\beta v + w, A^2 v + \alpha A^\beta u) \in \mathcal{H}\}$$

and for $U = (u, v, w, z) \in D(\mathcal{A})$

$$\mathcal{A}U = (-w, -z, Au + \alpha A^\beta v + w, A^2 v + \alpha A^\beta u).$$

It is clear that \mathcal{A} has a dense domain in \mathcal{H} .

Let us remark that for any $U = (u, v, w, z) \in D(\mathcal{A})$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{A}U, U \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &= -\langle Au, w \rangle_{V', V} - \langle A^2 v, z \rangle_{W', W} + \langle Au + \alpha A^\beta v + w, w \rangle + \langle A^2 v + \alpha A^\beta u, z \rangle \\ &\quad - \alpha \langle A^\beta v, w \rangle_{V', V} - \alpha \langle A^\beta u, z \rangle_{W', W}, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore $\langle \mathcal{A}U, U \rangle = \|w\|^2 \geq 0$. Indeed

$$\langle A^2 v + \alpha A^\beta u, z \rangle = \langle A^2 v + \alpha A^\beta u, z \rangle_{W', W},$$

since $A^\beta u \in W'$ for $\beta \in [1, 3/2]$ and $u \in V = D(A^{1/2})$.

Let us show that $I + \mathcal{A}$ is onto. For this we take $(f, g, h, k) \in \mathcal{H}$. We want to find $(u, v, w, z) \in D(\mathcal{A})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u - w &= f \\ v - z &= g \\ Au + \alpha A^\beta v + 2u &= h + 2f \\ A^2 v + \alpha A^\beta u + v &= k + g. \end{aligned}$$

We define $\Phi : (V \times W)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2) &:= \langle A^{1/2} u_1, A^{1/2} u_2 \rangle + \langle Av_1, Av_2 \rangle + \alpha \langle A^\beta v_1, u_2 \rangle_{V', V} + \\ &\quad \alpha \langle Au_1, A^{\beta-1} v_2 \rangle_{V', V} + 2\langle u_1, u_2 \rangle + \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly Φ is continuous on $V \times W$. It is also clear that Φ is coercive if we assume $|\alpha| < \lambda_1^{\frac{3-2\beta}{2}}$.

By the Lax-Milgram theorem, there exists a unique $(u, v) \in V \times W$ such that

$$\forall (\delta u, \delta v) \in V \times W, \quad \Phi(u, v, \delta u, \delta v) = \langle h + 2f, \delta u \rangle + \langle k + g, \delta v \rangle.$$

We therefore get

$$A^2 v + \alpha A^\beta u + v = g + k$$

$$Au + \alpha A^\beta v + 2u = h + 2f.$$

Now if we denote $w = u - f$ and $z = v - g$ then $w \in V$ since $u, f \in V$ and $z \in W$ since $v, g \in W$.

We have thus proven that \mathcal{A} is maximal monotone. By classical theory, we get that

Theorem 3.1. *For any $(u_0, v_0, u_1, v_1) \in \mathcal{H}$, there exists a unique solution to (3.5) in $C([0, T], \mathcal{H}) \times C^1([0, T], D(\mathcal{A})')$.*

Remark 3.2. It is also well known that if $(u_0, v_0, u_1, v_1) \in D(\mathcal{A})$ then the solution to (3.5) belongs to $C([0, T], D(\mathcal{A})) \cap C^1(0, T, \mathcal{H})$.

3.3. Main result of the paper

Our main result is the following

Theorem 3.3. *Assume $\beta \in [0, \frac{3}{2}]$ and $\alpha \neq 0$. Let (u, v) be a solution of (3.5) such that $(u(0), u'(0), v(0), v'(0)) \in \mathcal{H}$, then there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \forall t > 0, \quad & \|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1}u'(t)\|_{W'}^2 + \|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1}v'(t)\|_{W'}^2 + \|A^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}}u(t)\|_{W'}^2 + \|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v(t)\|_{W'}^2, \\ & \leq \frac{c}{t} [\|u'(0)\|^2 + \|v'(0)\|^2 + \|u(0)\|_V^2 + \|v(0)\|_W^2] \quad \text{if } \beta \in [0, 1]; \\ \forall t > 0, \quad & \|A^{\frac{-\beta}{2}}u'(t)\|_{W'}^2 + \|A^{\frac{-\beta}{2}}v'(t)\|_{W'}^2 + \|A^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}}u(t)\|_{W'}^2 + \|A^{1-\frac{\beta}{2}}v(t)\|_{W'}^2, \\ & \leq \frac{c}{t} [\|u'(0)\|^2 + \|v'(0)\|^2 + \|u(0)\|_V^2 + \|v(0)\|_W^2] \quad \text{if } \beta \in [1, \frac{3}{2}]. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.4. If we replace (3.5) by

$$\begin{cases} u'' + Bu' + Au + \alpha A^\beta v = 0 \\ v'' + A^2v + \alpha A^\beta u = 0 \end{cases} \quad (3.8)$$

where, as mentioned in the introduction, B is a bounded self-adjoint operator on H for which there exists $\mu > 0$ such that

$$\langle Bu, u \rangle \geq \mu \|u\|^2,$$

the results of Theorem 3.1 and Remark 3.4 remain true.

Remark 3.5. If we replace (3.5) by

$$\begin{cases} u'' + Bu' + Au + \alpha A^\beta v = 0 \\ v'' + A_2v + \alpha A^\beta u = 0 \end{cases}$$

where A_2 is a self-adjoint unbounded operator such that $D(A_2) = D(A^2)$ and there exist $\nu_1, \nu_2 > 0$ such that

$$\forall u \in D(A_2), \quad \nu_1 \langle A^2u, u \rangle \leq \langle A_2u, u \rangle \leq \nu_2 \langle A^2u, u \rangle,$$

and if B is as in the remark 3.4, the result of Theorem 3.3 remains true provided $|\alpha|$ is small enough (depending on λ_1, ν_1 and ν_2).

Remark 3.6. In the case $\beta = 0$, in order to obtain the decay of the energy, we must assume

$$A^2u(0) \in V, \quad A^2v(0) \in W, \quad A^2u'(0) \in H, \quad A^2v'(0) \in H.$$

In the paper [4], the authors obtain such a decay with merely

$$Au(0) \in V, \quad A^2v(0) \in W, \quad Au'(0) \in H, \quad A^2v'(0) \in H.$$

We, of course, would expect that the energy decay also holds with

$$(u(0), v(0), u'(0), v'(0)) \in D(\mathcal{A})$$

but unfortunately we are not able to prove it for the moment being.

Proof of Theorem 3.3. All the computations below will be made assuming that $(u_0, v_0, u_1, v_1) \in D(\mathcal{A})$ which ascertains them. By density and continuity the inequalities stated in Theorem 3.3 remain true.

Let us also recall that α satisfies $|\alpha| < \lambda_1^{\frac{3-2\beta}{2}}$.

We introduce the energy of the system by

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} [\|u'(t)\|^2 + \|v'(t)\|^2 + \|u(t)\|_V^2 + \|v(t)\|_W^2] + \alpha \langle A^\beta v, u \rangle.$$

Then we have

$$E'(t) = -\|u'(t)\|^2.$$

Let $p > 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ two real numbers to be fixed later and let

$$H_\varepsilon = E - \varepsilon \lambda_1^{2-\beta} \langle A^{\beta-2} v, v' \rangle_{W'} + p \varepsilon \lambda_1^{-a} \langle A^a u, u' \rangle_{W'} + \rho \varepsilon [\langle u', v \rangle_{W'} - \langle u, A^{-1} v' \rangle_{W'}]$$

where $\rho = \frac{p+1}{2\alpha} \lambda_1^{2-\beta}$ and $a = \min(0, 1 - \beta)$. We find easily

$$\begin{aligned} H'_\varepsilon &= -\|u'\|^2 - \varepsilon \lambda_1^{2-\beta} \|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} v'\|_{W'}^2 - \varepsilon \lambda_1^{2-\beta} \langle A^{\beta-2} v, v'' \rangle_{W'} + p \varepsilon \lambda_1^{-a} \|A^{\frac{a}{2}} u'\|_{W'}^2 \\ &\quad - p \varepsilon \lambda_1^{-a} \langle A^a u, u' + Au + \alpha A^\beta v \rangle_{W'} + \rho \varepsilon \langle u', v' \rangle_{W'} - \rho \varepsilon \langle u', A^{-1} v' \rangle_{W'} \\ &\quad - \rho \varepsilon \langle u' + Au + \alpha A^\beta v, v \rangle_{W'} + \rho \varepsilon \langle u, A^{-1} (A^2 v + \alpha A^\beta u) \rangle_{W'} \\ &= -\|u'\|^2 - \varepsilon \lambda_1^{2-\beta} \|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} v'\|_{W'}^2 + \varepsilon \lambda_1^{2-\beta} \langle A^{\beta-2} v, A^2 v + \alpha A^\beta u \rangle_{W'} + p \varepsilon \lambda_1^{-a} \|A^{\frac{a}{2}} u'\|_{W'}^2 \\ &\quad - p \varepsilon \lambda_1^{-a} \langle A^a u, u' \rangle_{W'} - p \varepsilon \lambda_1^{-a} \|A^{\frac{a+1}{2}} u\|_{W'}^2 - p \varepsilon \lambda_1^{-a} \alpha \langle A^a u, A^\beta v \rangle_{W'} + \rho \varepsilon \langle u', v' \rangle_{W'} \\ &\quad - \rho \varepsilon \langle u', A^{-1} v' \rangle_{W'} - \rho \varepsilon \langle u', v \rangle_{W'} - \rho \varepsilon \alpha \|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}} v\|_{W'} + \rho \varepsilon \alpha \|A^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} u\|_{W'}^2 \\ &= -\|u'\|^2 - \varepsilon \lambda_1^{2-\beta} \|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} v'\|_{W'}^2 + \varepsilon \lambda_1^{2-\beta} \|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}} v\|_{W'}^2 + \varepsilon \lambda_1^{2-\beta} \alpha \langle A^{\beta-2} v, A^\beta u \rangle_{W'} + p \varepsilon \lambda_1^{-a} \|A^{\frac{a}{2}} u'\|_{W'}^2 \\ &\quad - p \varepsilon \lambda_1^{-a} \langle A^a u, u' \rangle_{W'} - p \varepsilon \lambda_1^{-a} \|A^{\frac{a+1}{2}} u\|_{W'}^2 - p \varepsilon \lambda_1^{-a} \alpha \langle A^a u, A^\beta v \rangle_{W'} + \rho \varepsilon \langle u', v' \rangle_{W'} \\ &\quad - \rho \varepsilon \langle u', A^{-1} v' \rangle_{W'} - \rho \varepsilon \langle u', v \rangle_{W'} - \rho \varepsilon \alpha \|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}} v\|_{W'}^2 + \rho \varepsilon \alpha \|A^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} u\|_{W'}^2 \\ &= -\|u'\|^2 + p \varepsilon \lambda_1^{-a} \|A^{\frac{a}{2}} u'\|_{W'}^2 - \varepsilon \lambda_1^{2-\beta} \|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} v'\|_{W'}^2 - p \varepsilon \lambda_1^{-a} \|A^{\frac{a+1}{2}} u\|_{W'}^2 - \varepsilon \frac{p-1}{2} \lambda_1^{2-\beta} \|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}} v\|_{W'}^2 \\ &\quad + \rho \varepsilon \alpha \|A^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} u\|_{W'}^2 + \varepsilon \lambda_1^{2-\beta} \alpha \langle A^{\beta-2} v, A^\beta u \rangle_{W'} - p \varepsilon \lambda_1^{-a} \langle A^a u, u' \rangle_{W'} - p \varepsilon \lambda_1^{-a} \alpha \langle A^a u, A^\beta v \rangle_{W'} \\ &\quad + \rho \varepsilon \langle u', v' \rangle_{W'} - \rho \varepsilon \langle u', A^{-1} v' \rangle_{W'} - \rho \varepsilon \langle u', v \rangle_{W'}. \end{aligned}$$

First case : $\beta \in [0, 1]$. In this case $a = 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} H'_\varepsilon &= -\|u'\|^2 + p \varepsilon \|u'\|_{W'}^2 - \varepsilon \lambda_1^{2-\beta} \|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} v'\|_{W'}^2 - p \varepsilon \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{W'}^2 - \varepsilon \frac{p-1}{2} \lambda_1^{2-\beta} \|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}} v\|_{W'}^2 \\ &\quad + \rho \varepsilon \alpha \|A^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} u\|_{W'}^2 + \varepsilon \lambda_1^{2-\beta} \alpha \langle A^{\beta-2} v, A^\beta u \rangle_{W'} - p \varepsilon \langle u, u' \rangle_{W'} - p \varepsilon \alpha \langle u, A^\beta v \rangle_{W'} \\ &\quad + \rho \varepsilon \langle u', v' \rangle_{W'} - \rho \varepsilon \langle u', A^{-1} v' \rangle_{W'} - \rho \varepsilon \langle u', v \rangle_{W'}. \end{aligned}$$

Now since

$$\|A^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}}u\|_{W'}^2 \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1^{2-\beta}} \langle Au, u \rangle_{W'} = \frac{1}{\lambda_1^{2-\beta}} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|_{W'}^2,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} H'_\varepsilon &\leq -\|u'\|^2 + p\varepsilon\|u'\|_{W'}^2 - \varepsilon\lambda_1^{2-\beta}\|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1}v'\|_{W'}^2 - \frac{p-1}{2}\varepsilon\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|_{W'}^2 - \varepsilon\frac{p-1}{2}\lambda_1^{2-\beta}\|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v\|_{W'}^2 \\ &\quad + \varepsilon\lambda_1^{2-\beta}\alpha\langle A^{\beta-2}v, A^\beta u \rangle_{W'} - p\varepsilon\langle u, u' \rangle_{W'} - p\varepsilon\alpha\langle u, A^\beta v \rangle_{W'} \\ &\quad + \rho\varepsilon\langle u', v' \rangle_{W'} - \rho\varepsilon\langle u', A^{-1}v' \rangle_{W'} - \rho\varepsilon\langle u', v \rangle_{W'}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us remark that

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon\lambda_1^{2-\beta}\alpha\langle A^{\beta-2}v, A^\beta u \rangle_{W'} &\leq \varepsilon\lambda_1^{2-\beta}|\alpha|\|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v\|_{W'}\|A^{\frac{3\beta}{2}-2}u\|_{W'} \\ &\leq \varepsilon\lambda_1^{2-\beta}|\alpha|\|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v\|_{W'}\frac{1}{\lambda_1^{\frac{5-3\beta}{2}}}\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|_{W'} \\ &\leq \varepsilon\lambda_1^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}}|\alpha|\|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v\|_{W'}\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|_{W'} \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} -p\varepsilon\alpha\langle u, A^\beta v \rangle_{W'} &\leq p\varepsilon|\alpha|\|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v\|_{W'}\|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}}u\|_{W'} \\ &\leq p\varepsilon\lambda_1^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}}|\alpha|\|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v\|_{W'}\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|_{W'}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\varepsilon\lambda_1^{2-\beta}\alpha\langle A^{\beta-2}v, A^\beta u \rangle_{W'} - p\varepsilon\alpha\langle u, A^\beta v \rangle_{W'} \\ &\leq \varepsilon\lambda_1^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}}|\alpha|\|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v\|_{W'}\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|_{W'} + p\varepsilon\lambda_1^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}}|\alpha|\|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v\|_{W'}\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|_{W'} \\ &\leq \varepsilon\lambda_1^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}}|\alpha|(p+1)\|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v\|_{W'}\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|_{W'} \\ &\leq \varepsilon\lambda_1^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}}(p+1)\frac{|\alpha|}{2}\left(\gamma\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|_{W'}^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma}\|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v\|_{W'}^2\right) \end{aligned}$$

where we choose $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$\delta := \frac{p-1}{2} - \lambda_1^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}}(p+1)\frac{|\alpha|}{2}\gamma > 0, \quad \zeta := \frac{p-1}{2}\lambda_1^{2-\beta} - \lambda_1^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}}(p+1)\frac{|\alpha|}{2\gamma} > 0 \quad (3.9)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}}(p+1)|\alpha|}{(p-1)\lambda_1^{2-\beta}} < \gamma < \frac{p-1}{\lambda_1^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}}(p+1)|\alpha|}.$$

This choice is possible provided that

$$\left(\frac{p+1}{p-1}\right)^2 < \frac{\lambda_1^{3-2\beta}}{|\alpha|^2}. \quad (3.10)$$

Now we choose $p > 1$ such that (3.10) is satisfied. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} H'_\varepsilon = & -\|u'\|^2 + p\varepsilon\|u'\|_{W'}^2 - \varepsilon\lambda_1^{2-\beta}\|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1}v'\|_{W'}^2 - \varepsilon\delta\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|_{W'}^2 - \varepsilon\zeta\|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v\|_{W'}^2 \\ & -p\varepsilon\langle u, u'\rangle_{W'} + \rho\varepsilon\langle u', v'\rangle_{W'} - \rho\varepsilon\langle u', A^{-1}v'\rangle_{W'} - \rho\varepsilon\langle u', v\rangle_{W'}. \end{aligned}$$

Now let us observe first that if one considers some $U \in W'$ one has

$$\|U\|_{W'}^2 = \langle U, A^{-2}U\rangle_{W',W} = \langle A^{-1/2}U, A^{-3/2}U\rangle_{V',V} = \|A^{-1/2}U\|_{V'}^2. \quad (3.11)$$

Second, since $u' \in V$, one has $Au' \in V'$. Therefore according to (3.11) we have

$$\|Au'\|_{W'}^2 = \|A^{1/2}u'\|_{V'}^2. \quad (3.12)$$

Moreover $A^{1/2}u' \in H$, thus, one has

$$\|Au'\|_{W'}^2 = \|A^{1/2}u'\|_{V'}^2 = \langle A^{-1}A^{1/2}u', A^{1/2}u'\rangle = \|u'\|^2. \quad (3.13)$$

According to (3.13), since

$$\langle u', v'\rangle_{W'} = \langle Au', A^{-1}v'\rangle_{W'},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle u', v'\rangle_{W'}| & \leq |\langle Au', A^{-1}v'\rangle_{W'}| \\ & \leq \|Au'\|_{W'}\|A^{-1}v'\|_{W'} \\ & = \|u'\|\|A^{-1}v'\|_{W'}. \end{aligned}$$

Yet we have

$$\|A^{-1}v'\|_{W'}^2 \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1^\beta} \langle A^\beta A^{-1}v', A^{-1}v'\rangle_{W'} = \frac{1}{\lambda_1^\beta} \|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1}v'\|_{W'}^2.$$

Using Young's inequality, we find some constants $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} -p\langle u, u'\rangle_{W'} & \leq c_1\|u'\|^2 + \frac{\delta}{2}\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|_{W'}^2; \quad (\delta \text{ defined by (3.9)}) \\ \rho\langle u', v'\rangle_{W'} & \leq c_2\|u'\|^2 + \frac{\lambda_1^{2-\beta}}{3}\|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1}v'\|_{W'}^2 \\ \rho\langle u', A^{-1}v'\rangle_{W'} & \leq c_3\|u'\|^2 + \frac{\lambda_1^{2-\beta}}{3}\|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1}v'\|_{W'}^2 \\ -\rho\langle u', v\rangle_{W'} & \leq c_4\|u'\|^2 + \frac{\zeta}{2}\|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v\|_{W'}^2 \quad (\zeta \text{ defined by (3.9)}). \end{aligned}$$

By choosing ε small enough, we find a constant $\eta = \eta(p, \varepsilon) > 0$ such that for all $t \geq 0$

$$H'_\varepsilon \leq -\eta \left(\|u'\|^2 + \|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1}v'\|_{W'}^2 + \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|_{W'}^2 + \|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v\|_{W'}^2 \right).$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E} = \frac{1}{2} & \left[\|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1}u'(t)\|_{W'}^2 + \|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1}v'(t)\|_{W'}^2 + \|A^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}}u(t)\|_{W'}^2 + \|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v(t)\|_{W'}^2 \right] \\ & + \alpha \langle A^{2\beta-2}v, u \rangle_{W'} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$K(t) = \|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1}u'(t)\|_{W'}^2 + \|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1}v'(t)\|_{W'}^2 + \|A^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}}u(t)\|_{W'}^2 + \|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v(t)\|_{W'}^2.$$

For all $t \geq 0$, we have

$$\tilde{E}' = -\|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1}u'(t)\|_{W'}^2.$$

Then \tilde{E} is nonincreasing. Observe that

$$|\alpha \langle A^{2\beta-2}v, u \rangle_{W'}| \leq \frac{|\alpha|}{\lambda_1^{\frac{3-2\beta}{2}}} \|A^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}}u(t)\|_{W'} \|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v(t)\|_{W'},$$

from which we deduce that

$$\frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{3-2\beta}{2}} - |\alpha|}{2\lambda_1^{\frac{3-2\beta}{2}}} K(t) \leq \tilde{E} \leq \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{3-2\beta}{2}} + |\alpha|}{2\lambda_1^{\frac{3-2\beta}{2}}} K(t). \quad (3.14)$$

Now since

$$\|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1}u'(t)\|_{W'} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1^{2-\frac{\beta}{2}}} \|u'\|, \quad \|A^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}}u(t)\|_{W'} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1^{1-\frac{\beta}{2}}} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|_{W'},$$

then there exists a constant $\gamma > 0$ such that for all $t \geq 0$

$$H'_\varepsilon \leq -\gamma K(u, v, u', v'). \quad (3.15)$$

From (3.15), assuming ε possibly smaller in order to achieve positivity of the quadratic form H_ε , we get

$$\int_0^t K(u(s), v(s), u'(s), v'(s)) ds \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} H_\varepsilon(u(0), v(0), u'(0), v'(0)).$$

Using inequality (3.14), we obtain

$$\frac{2\lambda_1^{\frac{3-2\beta}{2}}}{\lambda_1^{\frac{3-2\beta}{2}} + |\alpha|} \int_0^t \tilde{E}(u(s), v(s), u'(s), v'(s)) ds \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} H_\varepsilon(u(0), v(0), u'(0), v'(0)).$$

Now since \tilde{E} is nonincreasing, it follows

$$\tilde{E}(u(t), v(t), u'(t), v'(t)) \leq \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{3-2\beta}{2}} + |\alpha|}{2\lambda_1^{\frac{3-2\beta}{2}} \gamma} \frac{1}{t} H_\varepsilon(u(0), v(0), u'(0), v'(0)).$$

Using inequality (3.14) we get

$$K(u(t), v(t), u'(t), v'(t)) \leq \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{3-2\beta}{2}} + |\alpha|}{(\lambda_1^{\frac{3-2\beta}{2}} - |\alpha|)\gamma} \frac{1}{t} H_\varepsilon(u(0), v(0), u'(0), v'(0)).$$

Second case : $\beta \in (1, \frac{3}{2}]$. In this case $a = 1 - \beta$.

$$\begin{aligned} H'_\varepsilon = & -\|u'\|^2 + p\varepsilon\lambda_1^{\beta-1}\|A^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}}u'\|_{W'}^2 - \varepsilon\lambda_1^{2-\beta}\|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1}v'\|_{W'}^2 - p\varepsilon\lambda_1^{\beta-1}\|A^{1-\frac{\beta}{2}}u\|_{W'}^2 - \varepsilon\frac{p-1}{2}\lambda_1^{2-\beta}\|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v\|_{W'}^2 \\ & + p\varepsilon\alpha\|A^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}}u\|_{W'}^2 + \varepsilon\lambda_1^{2-\beta}\alpha\langle A^{\beta-2}v, A^\beta u \rangle_{W'} - p\varepsilon\lambda_1^{\beta-1}\langle A^{1-\beta}u, u' \rangle \\ & - p\varepsilon\lambda_1^{\beta-1}\alpha\langle A^{1-\beta}u, A^\beta v \rangle_{W'} + \rho\varepsilon\langle u', v' \rangle_{W'} - \rho\varepsilon\langle u', A^{-1}v' \rangle_{W'} - \rho\varepsilon\langle u', v \rangle_{W'}. \end{aligned}$$

Now since

$$\|A^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}}u\|_{W'}^2 \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1^{3-2\beta}}\langle A^{2-\beta}u, u \rangle_{W'} = \frac{1}{\lambda_1^{3-2\beta}}\|A^{1-\frac{\beta}{2}}u\|_{W'}^2,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} H'_\varepsilon \leq & -\|u'\|^2 + p\varepsilon\lambda_1^{\beta-1}\|A^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}}u'\|_{W'}^2 - \varepsilon\lambda_1^{2-\beta}\|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1}v'\|_{W'}^2 - \frac{p-1}{2}\varepsilon\lambda_1^{\beta-1}\|A^{1-\frac{\beta}{2}}u\|_{W'}^2 \\ & - \varepsilon\frac{p-1}{2}\lambda_1^{2-\beta}\|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v\|_{W'}^2 + \varepsilon\lambda_1^{2-\beta}\alpha\langle A^{\beta-2}v, A^\beta u \rangle_{W'} - p\varepsilon\lambda_1^{\beta-1}\langle A^{1-\beta}u, u' \rangle \\ & - p\varepsilon\lambda_1^{\beta-1}\alpha\langle A^{1-\beta}u, A^\beta v \rangle_{W'} + \rho\varepsilon\langle u', v' \rangle_{W'} - \rho\varepsilon\langle u', A^{-1}v' \rangle_{W'} - \rho\varepsilon\langle u', v \rangle_{W'}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us remark that

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon\lambda_1^{2-\beta}\alpha\langle A^{\beta-2}v, A^\beta u \rangle_{W'} & \leq \varepsilon\lambda_1^{2-\beta}|\alpha|\|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v\|_{W'}\|A^{\frac{3\beta}{2}-2}u\|_{W'} \\ & \leq \varepsilon\lambda_1^{2-\beta}|\alpha|\|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v\|_{W'}\frac{1}{\lambda_1^{3-2\beta}}\|A^{1-\frac{\beta}{2}}u\|_{W'} \\ & \leq \varepsilon\lambda_1^{\beta-1}|\alpha|\|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v\|_{W'}\|A^{1-\frac{\beta}{2}}u\|_{W'} \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$-p\varepsilon\lambda_1^{\beta-1}\alpha\langle A^{1-\beta}u, A^\beta v \rangle_{W'} \leq p\varepsilon\lambda_1^{\beta-1}|\alpha|\|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v\|_{W'}\|A^{1-\frac{\beta}{2}}u\|_{W'},$$

we therefore get

$$\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon\lambda_1^{2-\beta}\alpha\langle A^{\beta-2}v, A^\beta u \rangle_{W'} - p\varepsilon\lambda_1^{\beta-1}\alpha\langle A^{1-\beta}u, A^\beta v \rangle_{W'} \\ & \leq \varepsilon\lambda_1^{\beta-1}|\alpha|\|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v\|_{W'}\|A^{1-\frac{\beta}{2}}u\|_{W'} + p\varepsilon\lambda_1^{\beta-1}|\alpha|\|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v\|_{W'}\|A^{1-\frac{\beta}{2}}u\|_{W'} \\ & = \varepsilon(p+1)\lambda_1^{\beta-1}|\alpha|\|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v\|_{W'}\|A^{1-\frac{\beta}{2}}u\|_{W'} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \varepsilon \lambda_1^{\beta-1} (p+1) \frac{|\alpha|}{2} \left(\gamma \|A^{1-\frac{\beta}{2}} u\|_{W'}^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}} v\|_{W'}^2 \right)$$

where we choose $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$\delta := \frac{p-1}{2} \lambda_1^{\beta-1} - \lambda_1^{\beta-1} (p+1) \frac{|\alpha|}{2} \gamma > 0, \quad \zeta := \frac{p-1}{2} \lambda_1^{2-\beta} - \lambda_1^{\beta-1} (p+1) \frac{|\alpha|}{2\gamma} > 0$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{\lambda_1^{\beta-1} (p+1) |\alpha|}{(p-1) \lambda_1^{2-\beta}} < \gamma < \frac{p-1}{(p+1) |\alpha|}.$$

This choice is possible provided that

$$\left(\frac{p+1}{p-1} \right)^2 < \frac{\lambda_1^{3-2\beta}}{|\alpha|^2}. \quad (3.16)$$

We choose $p > 1$ such that (3.16) is satisfied. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} H'_\varepsilon &\leq -\|u'\|^2 + p\varepsilon \lambda_1^{\beta-1} \|A^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}} u'\|_{W'}^2 - \varepsilon \lambda_1^{2-\beta} \|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} v'\|_{W'}^2 - \varepsilon \delta \|A^{1-\frac{\beta}{2}} u\|_{W'}^2 - \varepsilon \zeta \|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}} v\|_{W'}^2 \\ &\quad - p\varepsilon \lambda_1^{\beta-1} \langle A^{1-\beta} u, u' \rangle + \rho\varepsilon \langle u', v' \rangle_{W'} - \rho\varepsilon \langle u', A^{-1} v' \rangle_{W'} - \rho\varepsilon \langle u', v \rangle_{W'}. \end{aligned}$$

By use of Young's inequality we deduce that there are $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} -p\lambda_1^{\beta-1} \langle A^{1-\beta} u, u' \rangle &\leq c_1 \|u'\|^2 + \frac{\delta}{2} \|A^{1-\frac{\beta}{2}} u\|_{W'}^2, \\ \rho \langle u', v' \rangle_{W'} &\leq c_2 \|u'\|^2 + \frac{\lambda_1^{2-\beta}}{3} \|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} v'\|_{W'}^2, \\ \rho\varepsilon \langle u', A^{-1} v' \rangle_{W'} &\leq c_3 \|u'\|^2 + \frac{\lambda_1^{2-\beta}}{3} \|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} v'\|_{W'}^2, \\ -\rho \langle u', v \rangle_{W'} &\leq c_4 \|u'\|^2 + \frac{\zeta}{2} \|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}} v\|_{W'}^2. \end{aligned}$$

By choosing ε small enough, we find a constant $\eta = \eta(p, \varepsilon) > 0$ such that for all $t \geq 0$

$$H'_\varepsilon \leq -\eta \left(\|u'\|^2 + \|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} v'\|_{W'}^2 + \|A^{1-\frac{\beta}{2}} u\|_{W'}^2 + \|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}} v\|_{W'}^2 \right)$$

Let

$$\tilde{E} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\|A^{\frac{-\beta}{2}} u'(t)\|_{W'}^2 + \|A^{\frac{-\beta}{2}} v'(t)\|_{W'}^2 + \|A^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}} u(t)\|_{W'}^2 + \|A^{1-\frac{\beta}{2}} v(t)\|_{W'}^2 \right] + \alpha \langle v, u \rangle_{W'}$$

and

$$K(t) = \|A^{\frac{-\beta}{2}} u'(t)\|_{W'}^2 + \|A^{\frac{-\beta}{2}} v'(t)\|_{W'}^2 + \|A^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}} u(t)\|_{W'}^2 + \|A^{1-\frac{\beta}{2}} v(t)\|_{W'}^2.$$

For all $t \geq 0$, we have

$$\tilde{E}' = -\|A^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}u'(t)\|_{W'}^2.$$

Then \tilde{E} is nonincreasing.

Since

$$|\alpha\langle v, u \rangle_{W'}| \leq \frac{|\alpha|}{\lambda_1^{\frac{3-2\beta}{2}}} \|A^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}}u(t)\|_{W'} \|A^{1-\frac{\beta}{2}}v(t)\|_{W'}$$

then we get

$$\frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{3-2\beta}{2}} - |\alpha|}{2\lambda_1^{\frac{3-2\beta}{2}}} K(t) \leq \tilde{E} \leq \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{3-2\beta}{2}} + |\alpha|}{2\lambda_1^{\frac{3-2\beta}{2}}} K(t). \quad (3.17)$$

Now since

$$\|A^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}v'(t)\|_{W'} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1^{\beta-1}} \|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1}v'\|_{W'}, \quad \|A^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}}u(t)\|_{W'} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|A^{1-\frac{\beta}{2}}u\|_{W'},$$

then there exists a constant $\gamma > 0$ such that for all $t \geq 0$

$$H'_\varepsilon \leq -\gamma K(u, v, u', v'). \quad (3.18)$$

From (3.18), assuming ε possibly smaller in order to achieve positivity of the quadratic form H_ε , we get

$$\int_0^t K(u(s), v(s), u'(s), v'(s)) ds \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} H_\varepsilon(u(0), v(0), u'(0), v'(0)).$$

Using inequality (3.17), we obtain

$$\frac{2\lambda_1^{\frac{3-2\beta}{2}}}{\lambda_1^{\frac{3-2\beta}{2}} + |\alpha|} \int_0^t \tilde{E}(u(s), v(s), u'(s), v'(s)) ds \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} H_\varepsilon(u(0), v(0), u'(0), v'(0)).$$

Now since \tilde{E} is nonincreasing, it follows

$$\tilde{E}(u(t), v(t), u'(t), v'(t)) \leq \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{3-2\beta}{2}} + |\alpha|}{2\lambda_1^{\frac{3-2\beta}{2}} \gamma} \frac{1}{t} H_\varepsilon(u(0), v(0), u'(0), v'(0)).$$

Using inequality (3.17) we get

$$K(u(t), v(t), u'(t), v'(t)) \leq \frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{3-2\beta}{2}} + |\alpha|}{(\lambda_1^{\frac{3-2\beta}{2}} - |\alpha|)\gamma} \frac{1}{t} H_\varepsilon(u(0), v(0), u'(0), v'(0)).$$

□

4. EXAMPLES

This section is devoted to giving examples of operators to which Theorem 3.3 applies.

Example 4.1. The first case that we consider is when $H = L^2(\Omega)$ and

$$Au = - \sum_{i,j=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (a_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} u),$$

where the coefficients $a_{i,j} \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ satisfy

$$a_{ij} = a_{ji}, \forall i, j, \quad (4.1)$$

and the matrix $(a_{i,j})$ is uniformly coercive on $\bar{\Omega}$. With these assumptions we have $D(A) = H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Example 4.2. The second example considered is $H = L^2(\Omega)$, $D(A) = \{u \in H^2(\Omega), \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}$, and

$$Au = -\Delta u + \rho_1 u,$$

where $\rho_1 > 0$.

Here we can, as in [4] consider the case where

$$D(A_2) = D(A^2), \quad A_2 u = \Delta^2 u + \rho_2 u,$$

where $\rho_2 > 0$.

Example 4.3. Let us remark that due to remark 3.5 and the Poincaré inequality, our result applies to the case when $A_1 = A$ is as in example 1 and $A_2 = A_1^2 + \zeta A_1$ for any $\zeta > 0$.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Afilal and F. Ammar Khodja, Stability of coupled second order equations. *Comput. Appl. Math.* **19** (2000) 91–107.
- [2] F. Abdallah, Y. Chitour, M. Ghader and A. Wehbe, Optimal indirect stability of a weakly damped elastic abstract system of second order equations coupled by velocities. *Comm. Pure Appl. Anal.* **18** (2019) 2789–2818.
- [3] F. Alabau-Boussouira, Indirect boundary stabilization of weakly coupled hyperbolic systems. *SIAM J. Control. Optim.* **41** (2002) 511–541.
- [4] F. Alabau, P. Cannarsa and V. Komornik, Indirect internal stabilization of weakly coupled evolution equations. *J. Evol. Equ.* **2** (2002) 127–150.
- [5] F. Alabau-Boussouira and M. Léautaud, Indirect stabilization of locally coupled wave-type systems. *ESAIM: COCV* **18** (2011) 548–582.
- [6] K. Ammari, E.M.A. Benhassi, S. Boulite and L. Maniar, Exponential energy decay of some coupled second order systems. *Semigroup Forum* **86** (2012) 362–382.
- [7] K. Ammari and M. Mehrenberger, Stabilization of coupled systems. *Acta Math. Hungar.* **123** (2009) 1–10.
- [8] A. Haraux and M.A. Jendoubi, The convergence problem for dissipative autonomous systems – classical methods and recent advances. *SpringerBriefs in Mathematics*. Springer, Cham (2015).
- [9] A. Haraux and M.A. Jendoubi, A Liapunov function approach to the stabilization of second order coupled systems. *North-West. Eur. J. Math.* **2** (2016) 121–144.
- [10] V. Komornik, Exact Controllability and Stabilization: The Multiplier Method. Exact Controllability and Stabilization : The Multiplier Method. Wiley-Masson Series Research in Applied Mathematics, Wiley (1995).
- [11] P. Loreti and B.P. Rao, Optimal energy decay rate for partially damped systems by spectral compensation. *SIAM J. Control. Optim.* **45** (2006) 1612–1632.
- [12] S. Marx, Y. Chitour and C. Prieur, Stability analysis of dissipative systems subject to nonlinear damping via Lyapunov techniques. ArXiv preprint [arXiv:1808.05370](https://arxiv.org/abs/1808.05370) (2018)